The Pilates method in the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review
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Abstract

Introduction: Joseph Pilates created an authentic method of physical and mental conditioning, which he called Contrology and defined as the complete coordination of body, mind and spirit. Recent studies indicate that the Pilates Method is a useful tool in rehabilitation can improve overall health, sports performance and help in the prevention and attenuation of injuries and disorders of the musculoskeletal system. Musculoskeletal disorders are prevalent and costly conditions that develop gradually, presenting a chronic course and often remain untreated. Objective: This study aimed to identify the effects of the Pilates Method in the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal disorders through a systematic review. Methods: An active search was performed in the PubMed, PEDro, Scielo and Bireme databases. A total of 24 studies were pre-selected and their methodological quality was evaluated based on the PEDro scale. Of these, 14 fulfilled the selection criteria. Results: The studies analyzed indicate that Pilates can be effective both for the treatment of
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conditions such as scoliosis, back pain, ankylosing spondylitis and breast cancer, and for preventing injuries in adults, elderly people and athletes. **Conclusion:** The high methodological variability requires that these studies be viewed with caution. It indicates the need for the performance of new studies with high methodological quality and standardization of evaluation instruments.
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**Resumo**

**Introdução:** Joseph Pilates criou um método autêntico de condicionamento físico e mental, que chamou de Contrologia e o definiu como a completa coordenação de corpo, mente e espírito. Estudos recentes indicam que o Método Pilates é uma ferramenta útil na reabilitação, podendo melhorar a saúde geral, o desempenho esportivo, além de ajudar na prevenção e atenuação de lesões e disfunções do sistema musculosquelético. As disfunções musculosqueléticas são condições prevalentes e onerosas, que se desenvolvem gradualmente, apresentando um curso crônico e muitas vezes permanecem sem tratamento. **Objetivo:** Este estudo tem como objetivo identificar os efeitos do Método Pilates na reabilitação de distúrbios musculosqueléticos através de uma revisão sistemática. **Métodos:** A busca ativa foi realizada nas bases de dados: PubMed, PEDro, Scielo e Bireme. Foram pré-selecionados 24 estudos, que tiveram sua qualidade metodológica avaliada com base na escala PEDro. Destes, 14 se adequaram aos critérios de seleção. **Resultados:** Os estudos analisados indicam que o Pilates pode ser eficaz tanto para o tratamento de afecções como escoliose, lombalgia, espondilite anquilosante e câncer de mama, quanto para a prevenção de lesões em adultos, idosos e atletas. **Conclusão:** A alta variabilidade metodológica exige que esses estudos sejam vistos com cautela. E indica a necessidade de realização de novos estudos com alta qualidade metodológica e padronização dos instrumentos de avaliação.

**Palavras-chave:** Método Pilates. Doenças Musculosqueléticas. Reabilitação.

**Introduction**

Joseph Hubertus Pilates was born in 1880, near Düsseldorf in Germany (1). During childhood, he suffered a multitude of illnesses resulting in muscular weakness. Determined to overcome his weakness, he dedicated his life to become more physically strong (2). During the First World War, while he was interned, his experiences allowed him to develop an authentic method of physical and mental conditioning, which he perfected over the subsequent 50 years, until his death in 1967 (3).

Joseph H Pilates called his method Contrology and defined it as the complete coordination of body, mind and spirit. Contrology was created in order to develop the body uniformly, restore physical vitality, correct wrong postures, invigorate the mind and elevate the spirit (4). Recent studies indicate that Pilates is a useful tool in rehabilitation (5, 6, 7) and can improve overall health, sports performance, proprioception, the level of pain (8), flexibility (9), the degree of depression, strength and muscular endurance (10), encourage the control of the mobility of the trunk and pelvic segments, as well as help in prevention and mitigation of injuries and dysfunctions of the musculoskeletal system (11).

Musculoskeletal disorders are prevalent and costly conditions for primary healthcare (12). They develop gradually, presenting a chronic course, and often go untreated. Although many symptoms are associated, the most notable is pain, which can worsen gradually and progress to incapacity (13). Repetitive movements and inadequate long-term posture alter the characteristics of the muscle tissue and can cause muscle dysfunction, altered movement pattern, pain and movement disorders (14). To change this condition, strength training can prevent disuse atrophy and additional deconditioning, as well as improve muscle and cardiorespiratory function in people with muscular disease (15).

Advanced musculoskeletal fitness is directly associated with functional independence, mobility,
glucose homeostasis, bone health, psychological well-being and overall quality of life and is inversely associated with the risk of falls, disease and premature death. This evidence provides direct support for the recent recommendation for resistance training and flexibility exercises, carried out at least twice a week, to maintain functional status, promote physical activity throughout life and improve the overall quality of life (16). It is also important to mention that a lack of coordination in the core muscles can lead to a loss of movement efficiency and compensatory patterns, causing stress and overuse injuries. Thus, the motor relearning of inhibited muscles may be more important than strength in patients with musculoskeletal injuries (17). In this context, the Pilates method appears to be an interesting alternative for the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders, and for the maintenance of health and quality of life.

The aim of this study was to investigate, through a systematic review, the Pilates method in the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal disorders.

Methods

A systematic review was used as the methodology, which identifies, selects and critically evaluates studies considered relevant, to give theoretical and practical support for the classification and analysis of the bibliographic study (18).

A review of articles was performed with national [07] and international studies [17] and dissertations [03], for the years 2003 to 2012. The databases searched were: U.S. National Library of Medicine (PubMed), Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO) and Virtual Health Library (BIREME). The descriptors used in the search for the articles were: Pilates, musculoskeletal disorders, lumbar spine, cervical spine, chronic pain, rehabilitation, and occupational diseases.

After detailed reading of the studies (n = 24) selected, those that had no protocols (volume and intensity) reported in the article (n = 0), evaluated only qualitative measures (n = 1), were literature reviews (n = 1) or quasi-experimental studies (n=1), had low methodological quality (n = 2) or did not have a control group compared to the Pilates (n = 4) were excluded. The methodological quality of studies was assessed based on the PEDro scale (19) (Table 1). The inclusion criteria of the articles were: randomized clinical trials that evaluated the efficacy of the Pilates method for prevention or treatment of musculoskeletal disorders, that obtained a score greater than 3 on the PEDro scale and that were published in journals with rating greater than or equal to B1 in the webqualis of CAPES.

The studies potentially appropriate for inclusion in the review (n = 14) consisted of 1 doctoral dissertation of the University of Miami and 13 articles found in the following journals: Motriz [1]; Revista Brasileira de Medicina do Esporte [1]; Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation [1]; Rheumatology International [1]; Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research [1]; Journal of Bodywork & Movement Therapies [2]; European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine [1]; Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy [1]; Asian Journal of Sports Medicine [1]; Clinical Biomechanics [1]; Journal of Sport Rehabilitation [1]; and BMC Geriatrics [1].

Table 1 - PEDro scale of Methodological Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specification of the Inclusion Criteria</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Allocation of the Subjects into groups</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation of the Subjects was concealed</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar groups in relation to prognostic indicators</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blinding of the subjects</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blinding of the professionals who administered the therapy</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blinding of the evaluators</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of at least one key outcome in 85% of the initial subjects</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All subjects received treatment or control condition as allocated, otherwise, the data for at least one key outcome was analyzed by “intention to treat”</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(To be continued)
Results

The results of studies investigating the Pilates method in the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal disorders are described below and summarized in Table 2.

All the studies analyzed in table 1 were classified as randomized and controlled. The 14 studies included the following populations and samples: 31 university students of 22 ± 2 years of age (20); 11 athletes aged between 17 and 20 years, mean 18.1 ± 0.83 anos (21); 27 elderly people living in the community, aged 67.3 ± 6.5 years (22); 55 volunteers aged between 28 and 69 years, mean 45.23 ± 10.73 (23); 44 volunteers aged between 25 and 65 years (9); 52 elderly people between 60 and 78 years of age (mean 66 ± 4 years) (24); 21 volunteers aged between 60 and 78 years (25); 60 patients with breast cancer aged between 18 and 75 years (26); 39 physically active adults with a mean age of 34 ± 8 years for the control group and 37 ± 9 years for the exercise group (27); 40 healthy men and women with a mean age of 31.65 ± 6.21 years (11); 10 healthy subjects with a mean age of 33.1 ± 8.6 years in the Pilates group and 9 healthy individuals with a mean age of 28.6 ± 3.7 years in the Control group (28); 34 healthy adults equally divided into two groups, with a mean age of 27.3 ± 4 years in the control group and 27.5 ± 3.6 years of age in the Pilates group (29); 34 patients with chronic lower back pain and a mean age of 40.6 ± 9.7 years (8); 39 recently hospitalized, elderly patients (30). In the study of Gladwell et al. (8), there were significant differences between the Pilates and Control groups in terms of age, however, not for the initial measures.

The aims of the studies of Table 1, were to evaluate the efficacy of the Pilates Method regarding pain (20, 23, 25, 27, 8), flexibility (21, 9, 26, 11), strength (22, 28), balance (22, 9, 24, 29), functionality (22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 8), quality of life (23, 24, 26), muscular resistance (9), posture (9), fatigue (26), lumbo-pelvic stability (11), to quantify the effects of a Pilates program in the biomechanics of the shoulder and trunk (28), and to measure the participation and adherence to Pilates exercises (30).

Of the 14 studies analyzed, 4 evaluated pain, 5 evaluated flexibility, 3 strength, 4 balance, 6 functionality, 3 quality of life, 1 posture, 1 fatigue, 1 lumbo-pelvic stability, 1 the biomechanics of shoulder and trunk and 1 evaluated participation and adherence. The following instruments were used to evaluate pain: Borg CR10 scale (20), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) (23), Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire (25), pain sub-scale of the Miami Back Index (25), pain sub-scale of the SF-36 (25) Numerical Rating Scale of 101 points (NRS-101) (27), and Roland Morris pain rating visual-analog scale (8). Flexibility was evaluated through: flexibility test on the Wells bench (21, 9, 11), fleximeter (21), supine hamstring flexion test (9), and modified sit and reach test (26). Muscular strength of knee extensors was measured in a sitting position with hips and knees at 90° through the maximum extension effort against an elastic resistance in a device capable of recording this effort in kilograms. Dorsiflexor muscular strength was also measured in kilograms with the maximum effort against an elastic resistance. With the foot stabilized on a mobile platform and a strap secured around the metatarsal heads, participants were instructed to raise the front of the foot keeping the heel resting on the plate (22). The strength of the lower abdominals was evaluated with the aid of a goniometer, used to measure the smallest angle in which volunteers could keep the legs suspended isometrically for 3 seconds (28). The following methods were used to evaluate balance: Four Square Step Test (FSST) (22), Timed Up and Go (TUG) test (22), mediolateral sway range (22), modified balance board (9), Tinetti test (24), and functional reach test (29). Functionality was evaluated through: Timed Up and Go (TUG) test (22), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI) (23), GDLAM Protocol (Latin-American Development Group for Maturity) for Assessment of Functional Autonomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 - PEDro scale of Methodological Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criterion</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting of between-groups statistical comparisons of at least one key outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation both point measures and measures of variability for at least one key outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BD = Bone densitometry. HAP = Human Activity Profile questionnaire.
postural analysis (9). Fatigue was evaluated using the Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI) (26). Lumbo-pelvic stability was evaluated with the aid of a biofeedback device. The evaluation of shoulder and trunk biomechanics was performed with the aid of an electronic dynamometer and goniometer system for the evaluation of the passive RoM of the shoulder (28). The participation and adherence to a Pilates exercise program was evaluated through the total number of sessions concluded (30). The studies of Bertolla et al. (21), Bird et al. (22), Altan et al. (23) and Rydeard et al. (27) presented better methodological quality, scoring 8/10 on the PEDro scale. While the studies of Eyigor et al. (26), Emery et al. (28) and Gladwell et al. (8) presented the worst methodological quality, scoring 4/10.

### Table 1 - PEDro scale of Methodological Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>PEDro scale (n/10)</th>
<th>Aim/ Sample (n, gender and age)</th>
<th>Duration and intervention/ Variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Bias/ Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - to verify the effectiveness of Pilates on chronic pain in university student with nonstructural scoliosis. <strong>Sample</strong> - 31 university students with nonstructural scoliosis, reporting chronic back pain.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - 24 sessions, two times a week, for 60 min. <strong>Variables</strong> - pain was measured before and after 12 weeks of treatment with Pilates.</td>
<td>1 - The Pilates led to a significant decrease in pain (P = .0002). 2 - This did not occur in the control group (P = .84).</td>
<td>- Small sample;  - Control group smaller than intervention group, presenting a significant difference in relation to the pain;  - No between-groups evaluation of significance for other indicators;  - No blinding.  - Absence of a follow-up after the termination of the interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> – to verify the effect of a Pilates program on flexibility in young indoor soccer athletes. <strong>Sample</strong> - 11 athletes from a youth indoor soccer team.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - 4 weeks of training, 3 times per week, for 25 minutes. <strong>Variables</strong> - flexibility was evaluated 24 hours before the intervention and 24 hours and 15 days after the last session.</td>
<td>1- The Pilates led to a significant increase in both angular and linear flexibility.</td>
<td>- Small sample;  - No blinding of the professionals who administered the therapy;  - No blinding of the subjects;  - Short period of training;  - Short follow-up period after termination of the intervention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 - PEDro scale of Methodological Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>PEDro scale (n/10)</th>
<th>Aim/ Sample (n, gender and age)</th>
<th>Duration and intervention/ Variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Bias/ Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To evaluate the effects of Pilates on the balance and function of elderly people living in the community. <strong>Sample</strong> - 32 elderly people living in the community were recruited and 27 completed the program.</td>
<td>Intervention - 5 weeks of training, 2 times per week Variables - Static and dynamic balance, as well as leg strength were evaluated before and after the intervention in the 1st group, after an interval of 6 weeks and after the intervention in the 2nd group.</td>
<td>1- There was no significant difference between the control and Pilates groups. 2 - The Pilates led to significant improvements in the static and dynamic balance measures. 3 - There was no significant improvement in leg strength. 4 - The improvements caused by the Pilates had not returned to baseline levels after 6 weeks.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding of the professionals who administered the therapy; - No blinding of the subjects; - Short training period; - Absence of a follow-up after the termination of the intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To evaluate the effects of Pilates on pain, functional level and quality of life in patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis. <strong>Sample</strong> - 55 participants, 30 men and 25 women diagnosed with Ankylosing Spondylitis, who were in regular monitoring at a Rheumatology Clinic.</td>
<td>Intervention - Exercise program of 1 hour, 3 times per week, for 12 weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> – Subjects were evaluated before, immediately after and 12 weeks after the treatment regarding: functional capacity (BASFI), disease activity (BASDAI), spinal mobility (BASMI), chest expansion and quality of life (ASQoL).</td>
<td>1 - The Pilates led to a significant improvement in functional capacity at 12 and 24 weeks in comparison with the control group. 2 - The Pilates led to a significant improvement in BASMI, in BASDAI and the chest expansion at week 12. 3 - Comparing the groups, the Pilates significantly improved BASDAI (week 12) and BASMI (week 24).</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding of the professionals who administered the therapy; - No blinding of the subjects; - Short follow-up period after termination of the intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To determine the effect of Pilates on abdominal strength, hamstring flexibility, upper body strength, posture and balance. <strong>Sample</strong> - Of the 50 healthy, active volunteers, aged between 25 and 65 enrolled, 44 completed the study.</td>
<td>Intervention - 1 hour classes, 2 times per week, for 12 weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> – The following were evaluated: abdominal resistance, pelvic stability, hamstring flexibility, upper limb endurance, posture and balance.</td>
<td>1 - The Pilates led to significant improvements in all the variables except balance.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding; - Absence of a follow-up of results after the termination of the interventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 - PEDro scale of Methodological Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>PEDro scale (n/10)</th>
<th>Aim/ Sample (n, gender and age)</th>
<th>Duration and intervention/ Variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Bias/ Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To evaluate the effects of Pilates on personal autonomy, static balance and quality of life of healthy elderly women. <strong>Sample</strong> - 52 elderly women, sedentary for at least 6 months, who had never participated in Pilates classes.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - Program of 1 hour, 2 times a week, for 8 consecutive weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> - GDLAM Protocol Evaluation of Functional Autonomy, Tinetti test (balance), WHOQoL-OLD (quality of life).</td>
<td>1- The dependent Student’s t-test showed significant post-test differences in the areas of balance and general index of the GDLAM protocol; 2 - The Wilcoxon test showed a significant post-test difference in the quality of life index.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding; - Short training period; - Absence of a follow-up of results after the termination of the interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To compare the efficacy of an active approach (Pilates) with a passive approach (massage) to improve pain and activity limitations associated with chronic low back pain (CLBP) and recurrent low back pain (RLBP). <strong>Sample</strong> - 21 volunteers, recruited from back pain clinics of Miami and south of Miami.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - 6 weeks of Pilates or massage intervention, carried out 2 times a week, with the Pilates lasting 50 minutes and the massage 30 minutes. <strong>Variables</strong> - Before and after the intervention, activity limitation, pain, physical factors and psychosocial factors were evaluated.</td>
<td>1- There was a significant improvement in the Pilates group compared to the Massage group for the trunk extension strength and vitality measures of the SF-36. 2- Both groups showed improvement in the majority of the other outcomes. 3 - The improvements were greater for the subjects receiving Pilates, except in functional self-efficacy.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding of the subjects or the professionals who administered the therapy; - Final evaluation in less than 85% of the initial subjects; - No evaluation of at least one key outcome as “intention to treat” due to non-completion of the treatment/ control according to the distribution; - Short follow-up period after termination of the intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To evaluate the impact of Pilates on physical performance, flexibility, fatigue, depression and quality of life of women treated for breast cancer. <strong>Sample</strong> - 60 breast cancer patients were pre-selected, 52 were included, of these 42 completed the study.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> – The I group performed Pilates exercises for 1 hour, 3 times per week, for 8 weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> – The following were evaluated before and after the intervention: functional capacity (6-minute walk test), flexibility (modified sit and reach test), fatigue (brief fatigue inventory), quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ BR23) and complications.</td>
<td>1- The Pilates group presented significant improvements in the 6-minute walk test and functional scores of the EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ BR23 questionnaires. 2 - The control group showed a significant reduction in the 6-minute walk test. 3 - In the between-groups comparison there was a significant difference in the 6 minute walk test in favor of the Pilates group.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding; - Short training period; - Final evaluation in less than 85% of the initial subjects; - No evaluation of at least one key outcome as “intention to treat” due to non-completion of the treatment/ control according to the distribution; - Short follow-up period after termination of the intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>PEDro scale (n/10)</td>
<td>Aim/ Sample (n, gender and age)</td>
<td>Duration and intervention/ Variables</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Bias/ Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To investigate the efficacy of Pilates, emphasizing activation strategies of the gluteus maximus, the intensity of low back pain and functional disability in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain. <strong>Sample</strong> - 55 physically active adults, aged between 20 and 55 years, living in Hong Kong were recruited. Of these, 39 were included.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - 3 sessions of 1 hour per week in a specialized clinic and 15 minutes of training at home, 6 times a week for 4 weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> – The following were evaluated before and after the intervention: pain intensity (NRS-101) and functional status (Rolland Morris and RMDQ-HK). Functional status was assessed (RMQ/RMDQ-HK) over a period of 12 months of monitoring.</td>
<td>1 - There was a significant reduction in both pain intensity and functional disability for the Pilates group. 2 - There were significant improvements in functional disability at 12 months of monitoring.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding of the subjects or the professionals who administered the therapy; - Short training period; - Short follow-up period after termination of the intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To evaluate and compare the effects of Pilates exercises on flexibility and lumbo-pelvic stability. <strong>Sample</strong> - 40 healthy volunteers of both genders, who did not practice any type of exercise or sports activity over 20 minutes twice a week.</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - The Pilates group participated in 45-minute sessions, 2 times a week for 8 weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> - The groups were evaluated at weeks 0, 4 and 8 of the study in respect to flexibility (sit and reach test) and lumbo-pelvic stability (biofeedback).</td>
<td>1 - The number of subjects who passed the lumbo-pelvic stability test was significantly higher in the Pilates group. 2 - Improved flexibility in the Pilates group was significantly higher than in the control in both the 4th and in the 8th week of the training.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - No blinding of the subjects or the professionals who administered the therapy; - Short training period; - Absence of a follow-up of results after the termination of the interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td><strong>Aim</strong> - To quantify the effects of a Pilates program on shoulder and trunk biomechanics. <strong>Sample</strong> - 19 healthy individuals (9 controls and 10 of the Pilates group).</td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong> - 2 private sessions of 1 hour each, for 12 weeks. <strong>Variables</strong> – The following were performed before and after the intervention: posture tests, abdominal strength, shoulder RoM, shoulder neck and torso kinematics, and the activity of 16 muscles.</td>
<td>1 - The Pilates group presented lower static thoracic kyphosis in the sitting position and greater abdominal strength. 2 - The PG also showed improved kinematics during the shoulder flexion task.</td>
<td>- Small sample; - Control group differed from the intervention group; - No blinding; - No evaluation of at least one key outcome as “intention to treat” due to non-completion of the treatment/control according to the distribution; - Absence of a follow-up of results after the termination of the interventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1 - PEDro scale of Methodological Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>PEDro scale (n/10)</th>
<th>Aim/ Sample (n, gender and age)</th>
<th>Duration and intervention/Variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Bias/ Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 29     | 6/10               | **Aim** – To determine whether Pilates based exercises could improve the dynamic balance in healthy adults.  
**Sample** - 40 healthy adults who had never done Pilates were selected. The results of 34 subjects were analyzed.  
**Intervention** - 10 Pilates sessions performed over five weeks.  
**Variables** - The functional range test (FRT) was performed before and after the procedure (7 days after termination). | **1-** The Pilates group presented a significant improvement in the post-intervention FRT.  
**2 -** The Control group presented no significant change after five weeks. | - Small sample;  
- No blinding of the subjects or the professionals who administered the therapy;  
- No evaluation of at least one key outcome as “intention to treat” due to non-completion of the treatment/ control according to the distribution;  
- Short training period;  
- Absence of a follow-up of results after the termination of the interventions. |
| 8      | 4/10               | **Aim** - To evaluate the effect of a modified Pilates program for active individuals with chronic non-specific low back pain.  
**Sample** - 49 individuals with chronic low back pain were selected, these 34 completed the study.  
**Intervention** - 6 weeks of Pilates classes, performed 1 time per week for 1 hour. 2 sessions of 30 minutes were also performed weekly at home without supervision.  
**Variables** – The following were evaluated before and after the intervention: pain (Rolland Morris visual analog scale), specific functional status of the spine (Oswestry questionnaire), general functional status (SF-12), subjective and sports function improvement. | **1-** In the pre and post-intervention comparison, the Pilates group showed significant improvement in general functional status, sports function, pain, flexibility and proprioception.  
**2 -** The mean daily pain scores decreased significantly between the 1st and 6th week in the Pilates group and were significantly lower than those of the control group.  
**3 -** In the control group there was a significant reduction in the Oswestry questionnaire and this reduction was significantly greater than that of the Pilates group.  
**4 -** Increased flexibility was significantly higher in the Pilates group, compared to the Control group. | - Small sample;  
- No blinding of the subjects or the professionals who administered the therapy;  
- Short training period;  
- Final evaluation in less than 85% of the initial subject;  
- No evaluation of at least one key outcome as “intention to treat” due to non-completion of the treatment/ control according to the distribution;  
- Absence of a follow-up of results after the termination of the interventions. |
Musculoskeletal disorders are present in a wide variety of health problems. In the studies analyzed, Pilates was used to treat these disorders in patients with Non-structural Scoliosis, Ankylosing Spondylitis, low back pain and breast cancer, as well as for the prevention of injuries in adults, elderly people and athletes.

Scoliosis is a postural change (31) characterized by alterations of the spine in all three planes of movement, with lateral deviation in the frontal plane, lordosis in the sagittal plane and vertebral rotation in the axial plane (32). There are many known causes of scoliosis, which may be congenital or acquired, result from disease or injury as well as from changes in bone structure or neuromuscular problems. However, the majority of cases are of unknown origin and are therefore called idiopathic (33). Recent evidence indicates that physical exercises are able to treat Juvenile Idiopathic Scoliosis, reducing the Cobb angle and producing secondary effects such as improved strength, balance and mobility (34). In relation to the Pilates, despite the positive results indicating improvement in pain and function, the evidence is still limited (35, 36, 6).

Ankylosing Spondylitis (AS) is a chronic disease characterized by rheumatic inflammation (37) of the axial skeleton and sacroiliac joints (38), which affects men more than women (37). Its main clinical manifestations include back pain and stiffness for more than three months, which improves with exercise, but is not relieved by rest (37). Involvement of peripheral joints is reported in approximately one third of patients, most often in the hip, shoulder and knee (38). Its etiology is unknown and there is still no cure, therefore treatment is aimed at relieving and preventing the symptoms (39). The commonly used therapies consist of the administration of drugs and physical exercise. Physical activity and exercise are increasingly considered an important part of the treatment program (38). Hydrotherapy and exercises based on overall postural reeducation seem to offer a valid and promising alternative for these
Exercises that cover both physical and psychological venting and treating many of these side effects (50). Rehabilitation and exercises are effective in prewell documented concerns of breast cancer survivors chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy are of the upper limbs, lymphedema, fatigue, pain and (50). Limitation of activity, reduced range of motion tional side effects of the breast cancer treatment mon perspectives related to the physical and func-

cancers (48). The experience of each woman with breast cancer is unique, however, they share com-

ections than in older women (49). Current treatment strategies for breast cancer rely on the characteriza-
tion of the level of protein expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors [estrogen receptor (ER)/ progesterone receptor (PR)], and more recently, the protein expression or gene amplification of human epidermal growth factor (HER2) (48). Breast tumors that cannot express ER/PR and HER2 (triple-negative breast cancer) account for 10% to 17% of all breast cancers (48). The experience of each woman with breast cancer is unique, however, they share com-

patients (39). Recent studies indicate that Pilates can be promising in the rehabilitation and quality of life of patients with AS, especially for those in the early stages of the disease (40, 41, 42).

Low back pain with or without pain in the lower limbs is the most common chronic pain disorder, with a significant impact on health, economy and society. It is a multifactorial disorder with many possible causes. Intervertebral discs, joints, ligaments, fasciae, muscles and nerve roots were identified as tissues capable of transmitting low back pain (43). Therapeutic exercise is currently the first-line treatment recommended in clinical guidelines for non-specific chronic low back pain (44). Evidence indicates that Pilates based exercises are superior to minimum interventions for reducing non-specific low back pain, although they are no more effective than other forms of pain reduction exercise (45). Nor are they more efficient than the minimum intervention or other exercises to reduce disability related to chronic low back pain (45).

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women (46). It is a heterogeneous (47) disease with multiple clinical, pathological and molecular forms (48). The most important risk factors for the disease include gender, age and ethnicity (46). Its incidence and mortality rates generally increase with age, so that women over 45 present greater risk (46). However, in young women it is more often associated with a positive family history and genetic mutations than in older women (49). Current treatment strategies for breast cancer rely on the characteriza-
tion of the level of protein expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors [estrogen receptor (ER)/ progesterone receptor (PR)], and more recently, the protein expression or gene amplification of human epidermal growth factor (HER2) (48). Breast tumors that cannot express ER/PR and HER2 (triple-negative breast cancer) account for 10% to 17% of all breast cancers (48). The experience of each woman with breast cancer is unique, however, they share com-

All 14 studies showed positive and negative aspects for health, such as: reduction of pain, increased flexibility and improved functional capacity. The effect of Pilates was positive, proving to be efficient to reduce pain, increase flexibility, improve balance, increase abdominal and lower limb strength, and improve spinal mobility, chest expansion and disease activity in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. In addition, it increased the strength of the abdominal muscles and lower limbs, improved lumbo-pelvic sta-
bility, posture, proprioception, shoulder kinematics functional autonomy, sports function and quality of life. Regarding balance, there was an improvement that was maintained 6 weeks after intervention (22), however, in the study of Bird et al. (22) there was no significant difference between the control and Pilates groups and in the study of Kloubec (9) there was no significant improvement in balance with Pilates. Despite other studies that found improved functionality with Pilates, in the study by Anderson (25) there was improvement in functionality with massage but not with Pilates and in the study Gladwell et al. (8) the improvement of the Control group was greater.
than the Pilates group. In the study of Mallery et al. (30) the hospitalized elderly patents were able to perform the Pilates program.

**Conclusion**

Field studies with samples of various characteristics, which favors the generalization of the data, were analyzed. The studies analyzed indicate that Pilates can be effective both for treating conditions such as scoliosis, low back pain, ankylosing spondylitis and breast cancer, and for the prevention of injuries in adults, elderly people and athletes.

However, due to the high methodological variability, these studies should be viewed with caution. This indicates the need for new studies with high methodological quality and standardization of evaluation instruments.
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