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Abstract

Introduction: Pelvic floor (PF) muscles react to sexual stimuli with increased local blood circulation and involuntary contractions during orgasm. The training of the PF musculature helps in the improvement of the female sexual function. Objective: To verify the association between PF muscle strength and sexual function in women, controlling age and parity. Method: Cross-sectional study based on associations. The study included women who attended a reference center in Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, for a uterine cancer smear test. The Functional Evaluation of the Pelvic Floor and the Female Sexual Function Index questionnaire were used. Statistical procedures included Mann-Whitney U tests, Spearman correlation and Poisson Regression Analysis, with p < .05. Results: The mean age of the women (n = 177) was 39.05 years (SD = 13.3). Regarding PF function, 53.7% of participants presented weak or not palpable PF muscle function. Women with “good” muscle function (able to maintain contraction under examiner’s resistance) had significantly better indexes of sexual desire, excitement, lubrication and orgasm than women with weak/poor function. We identified that 52.5% of the women presented sexual dysfunction. Women with “poor” PF function and aged over 50 years had, respectively, 1.36 (CI95% 1.01 – 1.82) and 1.77 (CI95% 1.41 – 2.23) higher prevalence of sexual dysfunction.
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Introduction

According to Bergeron, Binik, Khalifé & Cohen (1), technical literature has shown the participation of physical therapists in multidisciplinary teams to treat sexual dysfunctions in women. The physical therapy approach in human sexuality consists of guidelines on pelvic anatomy and sexual disorders, behavioral education, body awareness, vaginal desensitization, perineal massage, and reeducation of the pelvic floor (PF) muscles (2,3).

Sexual health is an important aspect in women’s quality of life. The purpose of the female sexual response, in biological terms, is to facilitate penis penetration and, at the same time, provide erotic sensations ensuring good reproductive fitness (4). Sexual dysfunction involves physical, social and psychological dimensions, affecting any phase of the sexual functioning: desire (libido), excitement, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and pain (5).

The first systematic studies on the human sexual response cycle were performed by Masters and Johnson (6), who established a laboratory in which the anatomical and physiological correlates of sexual excitement and climax were documented. These authors have produced the most widely accepted model of sexual response structured in four stages: excitement, plateau, orgasm, and resolution (6). Kaplan (7) extended and modified Masters and Johnson’s work by proposing a new phasic formulation of sexual response with the introduction of the desire phase and improvement of the plateau and resolution phases. Sexual response was divided as desire, excitement, and orgasm (8). Since then, the term “sexual function” is used. It includes, in addition to the previously mentioned aspects, dyspareunia and sexual satisfaction (9).

The pelvic floor (PF) muscles react to sexual stimuli with increased local blood circulation and involuntary contractions during orgasm (10). Training the pelvic floor muscles helps improve female sexual function, in all its domains (11-16). According to Kegel (17), disuse, weakness, and hypotonicity of PF muscles contribute to orgasmic failure. However, it is not clear which aspects of these muscles, such as increased strength, endurance, or other aspects of...
motor control, would be more involved in improving sexual function.

Thus, little is known about the relationship between PF and sexual function among typical women because studies are commonly developed with women who already have some pelvic floor dysfunction (18-20). A population-based study showed that satisfaction and sexual activity were independent of the presence or absence of pelvic floor dysfunction when examined in a multivariate model (21). However, this study did not measure aspects such as muscle strength and endurance in a more direct way. Paciornik (22) emphasizes the importance of assessing the level of perineal strength both for research and for clinical purposes.

Given this context, the aim of this study was to verify the association between PF muscle strength and sexual function in women, controlling age and parity.

**Method**

**Type of study**

A cross-sectional study was developed, since the objective was to verify the association between PF muscle strength and sexual function in adult women.

**Population and sample**

The study population consisted of approximately 2,500 women attending a reference center in Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, for uterine cancer smear tests. In this center, women are interviewed and undergo cytopathological exams, without prior appointment. The sample was selected intentionally. Data were collected on Thursdays, in the afternoon, between the months of November 2010 and June 2011, subject to availability of the place. Women attended the center without prior appointment, and there was up to 12 vacancies per period. They were invited to participate in the study prior to the cancer smear tests. Pregnant women, women under the age of 18 years and sexually inactive women were not included in the study. Through volunteer work, 177 women aged between 18 and 75 years were recruited during this period.

**Instruments**

The variables listed to characterize the sampling in this study were age, education, marital status and presence of diseases. The number of pregnancies was also collected and women were classified as nulliparous or multiparous.

To identify the body mass index (BMI), we measured body weight through an Inner Scan digital scale model BC - 533/Brand Tanita and height through 217cm WCS stadiometer brand CARDIOMED with platform. Body mass index is obtained by dividing body weight in kilograms by height in square meters (kg/m²).

To measure the muscle function of the pelvic floor, we used the Functional Evaluation of the Pelvic Floor (FEPF) proposed by Ortiz et al. (23), which measures the presence and intensity of voluntary muscle contraction of these muscles. Muscle function was graded from zero (no objective perineal function, not even on palpation) to four (objective perineal function present and opposing resistance maintained for more than 5 seconds).

For this evaluation, the participant was placed in supine position on a stretcher, with the head resting on a pillow, hips flexed and slightly abducted and knees flexed and supported on a wedge of about 20 cm. The test was performed by means of vaginal palpation, wherein the evaluator used disposable gloves.

For data analysis, muscle function of the pelvic floor was treated in a numerical and categorical manner, and the classification used was: "poor" muscle function (equivalent to FEPF between zero and two, when contraction is weak or not palpable) and "good" muscle function (equivalent to FEPF three and four, when there is ability to resist the opposition made by the examiner in the lateral-inferior direction).

The sexual function of the participants was measured using the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) questionnaire applied as an interview, which was developed and validated in the United States (24) and translated into Portuguese (25). The questionnaire consists of 19 questions, all multiple choice, grouped into six areas: desire, excitement, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction and pain. Each answer is assigned a value from 0 to 5. A mathematical calculation is performed to obtain a final index, the FSFI score. Results vary from 2 to 36, and the lower the score obtained, the worse the sexual function.
For data analysis, sexual function was evaluated numerically and categorically, and the classification used was given by the cutoff point 26 as there is no sexual dysfunction rank values from the FSFI score for the Brazilian population and it is an intermediate value between the cutoff points for sexual dysfunction obtained in the US and in Turkey (24-26). Sexual function was considered as "good/no dysfunction" to values above this cutoff point and as "dysfunction" to values below it.

Ethical aspects

This study was developed in compliance with the standards required by resolution no. 196/96 of the National Health Council of Brazil and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the State University of Santa Catarina (UDESC) under protocol number 156/2010. Prior to implementation of the instruments, the women who agreed to participate in the study signed a consent form.

Results

A total of 177 women with a mean age of 39.05 years (SD = ± 13.3) participated in this study, most of whom were married (64.4%) and had completed high school education (46.9%), as noted in Table 1. Regarding the presence of self-reported diseases, 14.7% suffered from depression and 2.3% had diabetes. Twenty-five women (14.1%) were not sexually active (with sexual intercourse) during the study period — the last four weeks prior to the interview.

Regarding the muscle function of the pelvic floor, 53.7% (n = 95) of the women had "poor" classification, whereas 46.3% (n = 82) were classified as "good". More specifically, only 4% (n = 7) was unable to contract the PF muscles (level 0), 26% (n = 46) had no objective perineal function, with contraction noticed only on palpation (level 1), 23.7% (n = 42) had poor objective perineal function with contraction noticed on palpation (level 2), 21.5% (n = 38) had objective function and opposing resistance not maintained for more than five seconds (level 3) and 24.9% (n = 44) had objective function and opposing resistance maintained for more than five seconds (level 4).

Table 2 presents the comparison between domains and the FSFI total score, according to the functional classification of the PF muscles. Note that women with "good" muscle function had significantly better sexual function than those with "poor" function. Therefore, women with "good" muscle function had more desire, excitement, lubrication and orgasm than those with "poor" muscle function. Concerning pain during sexual intercourse, there was no relevant difference among groups.
Table 1 - Characteristics of the study participants regarding sociodemographic variables and diseases depending on the BMI classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n = 177)</th>
<th>Poor muscle* (n = 95)</th>
<th>Good muscle function^ (n = 82)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated/divorced</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: # Equivalent to not palpable or weak contraction according to Ortiz’s classification scale; ^ equivalent to ability to withstand the opposition strength made by the examiner in the lateral-inferior direction according to Ortiz’s classification scale.

Table 2 - Comparison of sexual function among women with poor and good PF muscle function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor PF muscle function* (n = 95)</th>
<th>Good PF muscle function^ (n = 82)</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Md</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSFI*</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitement</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(to be continued)
Table 2 - Comparison of sexual function among women with poor and good PF muscle function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor PF muscle function* (n = 95)</th>
<th>Good PF muscle function^ (n = 82)</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Md</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orgasm</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of pregnancies</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index; * equivalent to not palpable or weak contraction according to Ortiz’s classification scale; ^ equivalent to ability to withstand the opposition strength made by the examiner in the lateral-inferior direction according to Ortiz’s classification scale.

In addition to sexual function, the age, number of pregnancies and BMI variables also differed between women with "good" and "poor" muscle function (Table 2). Women with "good" muscle function were younger, had fewer pregnancies and had a lower BMI.

Regarding the classification applied to sexual function, 47.5% had "good function", whereas 52.5% had sexual dysfunction. When applying Poisson’s regression analysis to explain sexual function, we used the backward procedure, and the parity (nulliparous/multiparous) variable was excluded from the model because it was not statistically significant. The final result indicated that women with "poor" pelvic floor muscle function showed a 1.36 higher prevalence of sexual dysfunction than those with "good" muscle function (Table 3). Furthermore, women aged above 50 years had a 1.77 higher prevalence of sexual dysfunction.

Table 3 - Association among sexual function, pelvic floor function and age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables related</th>
<th>Prevalence Ratio</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor^</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.01 - 1.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 50 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50 years</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.41 - 2.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Model suitable to data because Goodness-of-fit test was not significant (p=0.637) and the Omnibus test was significant (p=0.012); * equivalent to not palpable or weak contraction according to Ortiz’s classification scale; ^ equivalent to ability to withstand the opposition strength made by the examiner in the lateral-inferior direction according to Ortiz’s classification scale.
Discussion

This study presents relevant data in order to describe the association between PF muscles function and sexual function in women from general typical population. We found that women with weak contraction of pelvic floor muscles had a 1.36 higher probability of having sexual dysfunction. This finding suggests an association between a stronger pelvic floor musculature and better female sexual function, and it was already suggested in other studies (11-16). A similar association was found in a study on pregnant women (27).

Women with better sexual function in this study had more desire, excitement, better lubrication, orgasm and less pain. This improved sexual function, according to Chambless, Sultan, Stern, O’Neill, Garrison, & Jackson (11), occurs due to stronger muscles inserted in the cavernous body of the clitoris which, according to Shafik (15), may facilitate the somatomotor reflex response (involuntary contraction of PF muscles during orgasm), improving pelvic blood flow, pelvic mobility and clitoral sensitivity, which are important aspects for excitement and orgasm (28,29). On the other hand, a population-based study showed that satisfaction and sexual activity were independent of the presence or absence of pelvic floor dysfunction when examined in a multivariate model (21). This lack of association found in the previous study could be explained by the fact that the pattern of muscle contraction of the participants was not evaluated objectively, based only on self-assessment.

Human sexual function is very complex and it is divided into two basic principles of response, a reflex principle and a psychogenic principle (30). Technical literature has produced more evidence on the effects of pelvic floor strength on the reflex responses as has been previously mentioned, but there are few studies on the pelvic floor strength affecting psychogenic responses. Sacomori, Cardoso & Vanderlinde (31) showed that a stronger pelvic floor was positively associated with different variables of body self-perception, for example, in finding their bodies are beautiful, sexy, finding that others consider them as sexy women, finding their bodies are proportional, besides knowing and touching their bodies in a more frequent basis.

Basson (32) built a new model of female sexual response that incorporates the importance of emotional intimacy, sexual stimulation and satisfaction with the relationship. This model claims that female sexual function is more complex and circular than male sexual function and sexual function is dramatically and significantly affected by many psychosocial variables (e.g. satisfaction with the relationship, self-image, previous negative sexual experiences). For Basson (32), women have many more reasons to engage in sexual activity in addition to sexual orientation and desire; most women in long-term relationships do not often think about sex or experience spontaneous desire for sexual activity. In the latter cases, the author suggests that the desire for increased emotional closeness and intimacy or readiness to talk of a partner may predispose a woman to sexual activity. From this point of sexual neutrality - where a woman is receptive to sex but does not initiate sexual activity - the desire for sex makes her sexually stimulable by means of talking, music, reading or watching erotic materials, or direct stimulation.

The Basson’s model (32) explains that the purpose of sexual activity for women is not necessarily orgasm, but personal satisfaction that can be manifested as physical satisfaction (orgasm) and/or emotional satisfaction — a sense of intimacy and connection with a partner, etc.

Cardoso, Savall, Sabbag, Mendes & Beltrame (33) present the differences in terms of corporeality and sexuality between men and women. Participants reporting body satisfaction tend to be sexually satisfied. However, when biological sex is controlled this correlation is observed only among women. Men are more susceptible to having higher physical intimacy whereas women value more intimate caresses.

Finally, it still has to be defined if a better PF function would facilitate sexual function or vice versa. According to Bø (34), there is a lack of randomized controlled tests investigating the role of PF muscles training in the treatment of sexual dysfunction. Since the pelvic floor strength had a positive influence on sexual function in this study, in typical women without any prior medical diagnosis, can be suggested that it may be more evident to women with different types of sexual dysfunction. For the next studies, aimed at a better understanding of the relationship between pelvic floor strength and female sexual function, the authors suggest an increased control of factors affecting the psychogenic responses of sexual function like self-image and body satisfaction.
Conclusion

This study found that sexual dysfunction is associated with poor pelvic floor muscle strength (equivalent to not palpable or weak contraction) and age over 50 years. In addition, women with better muscle strength had more desire, excitement, lubrication, and orgasm than women who were not able to maintain the contraction against resistance.

These results confirm the clinical importance of PF muscle training to improve muscle contraction and, therefore, female sexual function. These conservative measures are even more important for women aged over 50 years.

This study had limitations such as having a cross-sectional study design that does not allow understanding the causal relationships among variables and not having controlled the most psychogenic aspects that could interfere with sexual functioning. Among the strengths of the study, it is worth mentioning that it is one of the few studies to examine the association between sexual function and pelvic floor contractility in women without specific complaints. For future studies, it is suggested to use a design that allows for a better analysis on the influence of other physical and emotional variables on the occurrence of sexual dysfunctions. Furthermore, randomized clinical tests to identify efficient protocols, according to the level of PF muscle strength, are required to reduce prevalence rates of pelvic floor dysfunctions.
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